

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Norman Randle,

Appellant,

v.

**Bay Watch Condominium
Association,**

Appellee.

Supreme Court No. S-17570

Order Overlength Brief

Date of Notice: **1/17/2020**

Trial Court Case No. **3HO-18-00247CI**

Appellant Norman Randle files a motion to allow overlength brief. Alaska Appellate Rule 212(c)(4) requires that the appellant's opening brief not exceed 50 pages. Randle seeks approval to file a 101 page brief. The court has examined Randle's proposed brief and finds that it is far longer than reasonably necessary to address the points on appeal he is attempting to raise. The motion to file the 101 page brief is **DENIED IN PART**. The court recognizes that Randle is raising many points on appeal. The court will permit Randle to file a 60 page opening brief.

While it would be inappropriate for the court to advise Randle how to revise and shorten his brief, given his pro se status the court will note that it is usually unnecessary to provide long quotations from a transcript or from case law; a brief summary and an accompanying citation (citing to the specific page in the transcript where the testimony is found or to the specific page in a case where the legal issue is discussed) is usually sufficient. Also, the jurisdictional statement is way too long. In most briefs the jurisdictional statement is a short paragraph. See App. Rule 212(c)(1)(D).

IT IS ORDERED:

Randall shall file a brief that is no longer than 60 pages on or before **2/19/2020**.

The due date for Appellee's brief is **VACATED**.

Entered at the direction of an individual justice.

Norman Randle v. Bay Watch Condominium Association
Supreme Court No. S-17570
Order of January 17, 2020
Page Two

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

Joyce Marsh, Deputy Clerk

Distribution:

Mail:
Randle, Norman
Evans, Charles G.